



INITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/106,390 06/26/98 THOMSON J. 96-0296-9540 **EXAMINER** HM22/0626 CARL R. SCHWARTZ CLARK, D QUARLES & BRADY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 411 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE WI 53202 1633 DATE MAILED: 06/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No.

09/106,390

Thomson, James A.

Examiner

Deborah Clark

Group Art Unit 1633



TH	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date or rejection.	n, whichever of the final
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropria date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the pudetermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 valued calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	rnoces of
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	within any
Ap bu	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 13, 2000</u> has been considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	g effect,
	☐ The proposed amendment(s):	
	☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	☐ will not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simple issues for appeal.	olifying the
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submaces separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	itted in a
X	for allowance because:	
	The term "embryonic stem cells" includes the property of germ-line competency as stated in the specification of th	
_	1 lines 24-25 and as stated in the previous office actions and supported by prior art.	
Ш	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were ne the Examiner in the final rejection.	wly raised by
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	22 of perpendicular in the states of the states to the states to the states of the sta	
	Claims allowed: None	
	Claims allowed. Mana	
	Claims allowed: None	
	Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1-11	Examiner.
	Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1-11	Examiner.
	Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1-11 The proposed drawing correction filed on	Examiner. Call Call CARK CEXAMINER INIT 1633